

Te Tai Uru Hui

Location:	Helm Room, Eastland Port Limited, 2 Crawford Road, Gisborne
Date:	31 March 2021
Time:	2.00pm



Attendees

Samuel Lewis, on behalf of Ngai Tāwhiri	SL
Ian Ruru, Te Whānau a Iwi	IR
Angus Ngarangioue, Ngati Te Rangitauwhiwhia	AN
Athena Emmerson, Ngāti Kahutia	AE
Sarah Hunter, Gisborne District Council	SH
Andrew Gaddum, Eastland Port	AG
Daniel Kingsford, Eastland Port	DK
Briar Hunter, Eastland Port	BH
Suzanne Winterflood, Eastland Group	SW

Apologies: Marty Bayley (MB).

Opening karakia delivered by SL.

Welcome and introductions

- DK welcomed attendees and thanked them for coming to the second Te Tai Uru (TTU) hui, held at the Eastland Port (EPL) offices.
- DK gave apologies on behalf of MB, who was away sick.
- DK and SL tried to reach MP, who wished to attend, but were unable to make contact.

1. Developing the Cultural Values Relationship Framework updates

- DK showed functional process slide. Summarised the purpose, and recapped how the components of the framework were reviewed at the previous TTU hui.
- He emphasised the importance of continuing to progress the framework in a timely manner, and acknowledged the work that had been done to date.
- AG offered to provide port resource to assist with managing that process.
- SL explained that the framework was largely in MP's hands, and that progress was being made.
- DK noted that MP had provided methodology associated with the maintenance dredging consent. Would this be applied individually with each hapū, or developed collectively?
- SL explained his understanding was that each hapū would decide whether to use the methodology.
- AE asked whether EPL was meeting with Ngati Oneone (NOO).
- AG confirmed that EPL would be meeting with NOO on April 7, and MP's framework would be one of the discussion points.



- AN questioned the approach of hearing TTU's korero and NOO's separately, then trying to mediate the two.
- AG explained that EPL's preference would be to have NOO at the TTU table but it was their decision; EPL was navigating through this. If TTU could collectively agree on MP's framework for TTU members, would it be acceptable to then show NOO?
- AN noted tikanga perspective, Ngati Porou legislation. Happy to share korero with NOO.
- AG agreed the framework is the start; also noted that NOO amongst others had raised issues during Twin Berth Stage 1 consenting ie dredging areas, which had been addressed and agreed on. EPL needs to discuss cultural impact framework with NOO; ideally there will be a high level structure and everyone can feed in their views. Then EPL can understand what needs to be done, and how EPL can meet and reflect values.
- IR said that Te Whānau a Iwi have their own cultural values framework and are happy with it.
- AG asked IR to share the framework with DK, so EPL could understand details, budget, timeframe. He said that EPL was open to having a discussion about what might be required.
- IR said the process covered prices, values, then into the assessment tool.
- SL highlighted that there a cultural values specific to particular areas, and this will be a tricky process. For example: NOO values relative to this land, based on legislation or customary practices?
- DK said that the importance of cultural values was very strongly recognised and embedded in the upcoming consent process.
- AG emphasised that EPL is trying to work to a timeframe, but also essential to do this properly. Seriously considering a programme/project management role - the person would work across all hapū - it's apparent there were synergies and crossovers and this person could facilitate the process.
- DK highlighted timeframes for EPL's upcoming applications; looking at having Twin Berth Stage 2 application ready by July.
- AG asked for a sense of timing from TTU members' perspective.
- AE explained that the TTU representatives will work with trusts and members, monthly meetings, then bring feedback and queries back to the table.
- AN said he was comfortable with IR's framework being used elsewhere.
- AG noted a framework was needed first, to build into the application so it was approached properly and meaningfully, and questions addressed before the application submitted. Might not be completely finished, but at least the majority there.
- DK agreed, saying the application would be shaped with the input of the cultural values.

Actions: All agreed on the approach to continue working through the framework with TTU hapū, and then with NOO. EPL to look further into potential programme/project management role.

2. Update from EPL on progress of the Wharf 7/Slipway redevelopment

- DK went through slides, highlighting expected start date, resource consent conditions (a copy of the conditions was supplied), crayfish pueruli settlement design and deployment plan.
- DK and noted that TTU will receive plans in plenty of time, as per TTU protocol.
- IR asked about the length of the documents that will be provided.
- AE asked whether particular areas of interest would be highlighted such as noise, erosion.
- AN asked why Auckland University experts were chosen to work on pueruli plans; DK explained the staff's familiarity and experience.



3. Update from EPL on preparation of the resource consent applications for the Twin Berth development

- DK continued through presentation. Summarised approach around engineering, ecological factors, landscaping etc, which a consultancy is compiling. Need to take into account the cultural values framework within the consent application; timeframes getting tight.
- IR noted that simply reading the application is a huge undertaking.
- AG summarised how EPL has taken into account “red flag” issues such as reclamation, now just a fraction of the size of what was proposed years ago; no dredging around Te Toka a Taiau.
- DK explained that dredged material all goes to the designated disposal area.

Actions: EPL to provide information in a timely manner; will ensure key points are highlighted/summarised for TTU, with the full documents available online.

4. Update from EPL on current resource consents lodged with the Gisborne District Council

- DK explained that Gisborne District Council (GDC) is still refining conditions for the Upper Log Yard variation.
- Maintenance dredging and disposal – this consent has been formally suspended for a cultural impact assessment to be conducted. May need to extend the suspension; letter drafted from SH on this.
- Noted W. Moreton’s late submission in opposition, which was declined by an independent commissioner.
- Redevelopment of piers 4 and 5 – on hold.

5. Proposed works to accommodate new tugs at Wharf 1

- Moving tugs to Wharf 1; want to make sure new location won’t interfere with design of piers. Moving tugs because of change in dredging plans for wharf 6 to protect area around Te Toka a Taiau. Two new tugs coming to replace existing.
- Will require maintenance dredging of wharves 4, 5, 6 and the inner harbour basin to wharf 1 – depth -6.0m CD.
- AE asked whether dredging is necessary.
- DK and AG explained the areas have been dredged before as shown by sediment drilling. Natural sediment flows are gradually filling up the basin, and will need to address this eventually – a historical and ongoing issue.
- DK said EPL is working to identify the exact location and depth of the underwater high voltage cable.

6. Nomination of Independent Group Facilitator

- EPL proposed Reginald Proffit (RP); a copy of his CV was provided. TTU members were invited to submit suggestions; none received. TTU protocol requires a consensus.
- Discussion of RP’s credentials.



- SL noted that in other forums, he had felt “quite silenced” by RP. “If I wish to be heard then I don’t want to be silenced.” SL agreed that RP was a good suggestion for this role, but the point should be minuted.
- All agreed that this would be a different space; the TTU role is to facilitate and ensure everyone is heard; it was important to follow up with MP to seek his opinion.
- Discussion around capacity of hapū and confirmation of representatives to TTU. SL advised that Ngāti Maru and Ngai Tāwhiri are not yet ready to make appointments.

Actions: AG to follow up with MP; if MP concurs then by unanimous agreement RP will be appointed to the role.

7. Update from EPL on other programmed construction works

- DK summarised Waikahua Seawall plans.
- AG explained that Port of Napier used rock to build artificial reefs; asked if TTU had any appetite for something similar here in the bay, to provide greater public access to fishing?
- AN said there used to be concrete blocks around Sponge Bay. He supported any opportunities like this.
- AG added that, for the pueruli, there would be artificial structures in place in the port area for habitation.
- DK explained this would include under Wharf 6.
- In response to a question from AE, AG discussed how EPL is working with NOO, including supporting Kopuawhakapata Stream plantings, nursery for native seedlings, Titirangi pest traps and side by side, bombing area for children.

Action: Further test the idea of artificial reefs with group, work through details.

- Further discussion on best approach to developing cultural values relationship framework.
- AG suggested rather than waiting for cultural values relationship framework and cultural impact assessments to be completed in advance of Twin Berth Stage 2 consents, could take a workshop approach: sit down together and work through in an authentic and meaningful way. A workshop approach for Stage 1 went reasonably well and there are many learnings that can be applied.
- DK noted that the maintenance dredging consent would need to come through the same process, in advance of the arrival of the tugs May/June next year.
- IR suggested the option of using the compass he has developed as an interim prototype with pueruli; each hapū adds tikanga; how does it impact mauri; effects on biodiversity? Would speed up process.
- AN noted that the compass has been endorsed by GDC and is being used.
- AG said that by the end of April EPL will have awarded the tender for Wharf 7 and have more detail to share.

Actions: Next TTU meeting end of April, DK to send out invite. IR to proceed with pilot for pueruli.



